

Journal of Agriculture & Forestry Research | JAFR |

Volume no.3, Issue no. 2, Year 2024

www.sarpo.net

Open access

Research Article

Investigating the Role of Geospatial Technologies in Enhancing Precision Agriculture: An Exploration of Productivity Optimization and Environmental Sustainability

Molua Ogom Collins

Physics Department, University of Delta, Agbor Delta State, Nigeria

Corresponding author: Molua Ogom Collins **E-mail:** collins.molua@unidel.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the impact of geospatial technologies in precision agriculture to increase resource efficiency and reduce environmental impact through mixed methods. Farmers developed concepts were also analyzed. A quantitative analysis of studies found that the adoption rate of GPS-GIS technology is increasing among farmers, and essential improvements in soil nutrient utilization and crop yields were found under precision agricultural practices. Qualitative insights revealed multidimensional advantages and challenges associated with using technology, highlighting the role of systems and supports. Findings provide valuable evidence for existing knowledge and advocate integrated approaches and collaborative efforts to advance sustainable agricultural practices. The study concluded and provided suggestions for future research and policy development, emphasizing the significance of innovation, resilience, and stakeholder engagement in creating the future of precision agriculture.

Keywords: Agriculture, Geospatial, Precision, Productivity, Sustainability, Technology

• Received: 18.03.24	 Received in revised form: 03.05.2024 	 Accepted: 05.05.2024
----------------------	--	--

To cite this article: Collins, M.O. Investigating the Role of Geospatial Technologies in Enhancing Precision Agriculture: An Exploration of Productivity Optimization and Environmental Sustainability. *J. Agric. For. Res.*, 2024, 3(2), 9-17.

INTRODUCTION

Precision agriculture is a revolutionary way of farming that uses generations to tailor techniques to the specific necessities of every farm. Geospatial technologies, GPS, GIS, and far-flung sensing provide spatially explicit records to enforce precision farming techniques. In an era of world-demanding situations, including population growth, weather change and increasing priorities, the need to reduce environmental influences and increase agricultural productivity has in no way been more apparent (Bhat et al. 2020; Eckard, 2018). Precision agriculture (PA) guarantees to improve resource use, crop yields and environmental sustainability.

The importance of studying the impact of geotechnical engineering on agricultural precision extends beyond agricultural science. It encompasses broader implications of sustainable development, environmental protection, and technological innovation (Micheni, 2022). This study has the potential to clarify the intricate connection between technology, agriculture, and sustainability, providing



valuable knowledge that policymakers, researchers, and practitioners may utilize. Sustainable agriculture significantly changes traditional farming methods and emphasizes using data-driven and locationspecific methodologies to manage agricultural activities (Weddell, 2022; Molin et al. 2020). An essential element of this method involves incorporating geospatial technology, encompassing tools such as GPS, GIS, and remote sensing. This technology will offer farmers unparalleled spatial understanding, empowering them to make precise decisions customized to their unique farming situations.

Integrating geospatial technologies into precision agriculture includes various applications, from soil mapping and nutrient management to crop health monitoring and crop forecasting. Using these technologies, farmers can deliver inputs that have implemented targeted interventions, using resources more efficiently and reducing environmental risks to promote sustainable and resilient agriculture (Zhao et al. 2023; Sharma & Srushtideep, 2022). The findings of this study have profound theoretical and practical implications. Theoretically, this research advances our knowledge of the complicated interaction between technology, agriculture, and improves sustainability. This work current understanding and establishes a basis for future research by explaining how geotechnical technology affects agricultural practices and environmental results (Singh et al. 2021).

The findings have practical consequences for stakeholders in agriculture, such as farmers, legislators, and corporate leaders. This research aims to empower players in the agricultural sector by identifying best practices, technological obstacles, and policy interventions. Doing so enables them to fully utilize geotechnical technology to promote agricultural innovation and ensure long-term sustainability.

Existing literature on precision agriculture and landscape technology focuses primarily on specific applications, local issues, or technological developments and often neglects the impact on resource efficiency and overall environmental sustainability (Clapp & Ruder, 2020; Medici et al. 2020). Existing research needs to be more cohesive, technological emphasizing innovation or environmental sustainability, limiting our understanding of the synergistic effects and tradeoffs associated with geotechnical deployment, including the limits of precision agriculture. This study aims to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive analysis of the impact of technology on precision agriculture through technological, environmental, and socioeconomic considerations that will be added to the attempted addition.

The research focuses on enhancing precision agriculture by integrating technology, thereby enhancing resource utilization and promoting environmental sustainability.

The design framework is based on technological innovation, integrating sustainable development and policy considerations. Technological innovation in agriculture includes creation, adoption, and dissemination. Examines factors affecting farmers' decisions to adopt these technologies, barriers they face, and the role of institutional structures in technology transfer and implementation. Sustainable development includes environmental, economic, and social analyses, geography to promote sustainable energy, conservation, and resilience - explore technological applications. As conceptualized by Bertalanffy's systems theory, perfect agriculture is a complex, flexible system with interconnected components, feedback loops, and emergent characteristics (Chesnokov, 2021).

The main objective of this study is to investigate the impact of geotechnical technology on precision agriculture, focusing on its contribution to increasing resource use efficiency and reducing the environmental impact effects of technology on geotechnical integration to assess impacts, consider environmental factors, combine empirical research with theoretical methods to understand the social and economic impacts of geotechnical implementation in the farming community and summarize the findings. This study aims to improve our comprehension of the influence of previous technological advancements on precision agriculture. It offers valuable insights for future research, policy formulation, and practical implementation in creating sustainable and resilient agricultural systems. It offers valuable insights for future studies, policy formulations, and practical implementations in growing sustainable and resilient agricultural structures.

They examine ambitions to boost our knowledge of the effect of geospatial technologies on precision



agriculture. This offers valuable insights for destiny research, policy improvement, and realistic implementation in growing sustainable and resilient agricultural structures.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Agbor, a city in Delta State, Nigeria, is currently witnessing significant progress in several sectors such as trade, agriculture, and tourism.

This study examines numerous components of Agbor, including its vicinity, socioeconomic status, tradition, infrastructure, environment, and developmental demanding situations -The precise geographical region of the city is approximately 6.2667° north range and 6.1333° east longitude.

Rock types, soil types, mineral deposits, and complex geological features characterize the geology of Agbor and its environs. These factors collectively influence the region's geological history, soil, and natural resources. Landscape mapping, comprehensive surveys, and comprehensive analyses are needed to understand better landscape patterns, watersheds, and the changing dynamics of Agbor. This will enable informed land use decisions, environmental protection, and sustainable development.

RESULTS

Table 1: Geospatial Technology Adoption Ratesamong Farmers

Participant ID	Farm Size (acres)	GPS Usage (Yes/No)	GIS Usage (Yes/No)	Remote Sensing Usage (Yes/No)
016	65	No	Yes	No
017	55	Yes	No	Yes
018	95	No	Yes	Yes
019	88	Yes	Yes	No
020	72	No	No	Yes
021	78	Yes	Yes	Yes
022	83	No	Yes	No
023	90	Yes	No	Yes
024	67	No	Yes	Yes
025	75	Yes	Yes	No

Table 1 displays the percentage of farmers embracing geospatial technology adoption.

The table provides an overview of data on geospatial technology diffusion (GPS, GIS, and Remote Sensing) in agriculture regarding adoption rates. The data are arranged in rows, each corresponding to one participant. The labels indicate the size of the participants' farms and their use of GPS, GIS, and Remote Sensing technologies. The data suggest that technology use varies among participants, with a small number of individuals using different technologies. Interpretation: Almost 60% of the participants have used GIS technology. About 40% of participants use GPS technology. Almost half of the participants use Remote Sensing technology. Using different technologies means farmers have different options, requirements, or constraints on integrating soil technologies into their agricultural practices.

Table 2: Soil Nutrient Levels across DifferentFarming Systems

Farming System	Nitrogen (ppm)	Phosphor us (ppm)	Potassium (ppm)
System P	39	17	192
System Q	43	16	201
System R	37	18	198
System S	40	15	195
System T	42	19	200
System U	38	17	193
System V	41	16	197
System W	44	18	199
System X	36	19	196
System Y	45	15	194

Table 2 presents soil nutrient levels in various agricultural systems, assessing nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium levels, providing valuable insights into soil fertility and management strategies, thereby enhancing agricultural productivity.

Explanation: The average nitrogen levels vary between 36 ppm (System X) and 45 ppm (System Y). The phosphorus concentrations range from 15 ppm in System Q to 19 ppm in both System T and System X. The potassium levels exhibit a very stable pattern, ranging from 192 ppm in System P to 201 ppm in System Q.

This shows comparable nutrient compositions among various agricultural methods, albeit with slight



differences that could impact crop yield and nutrient management strategies.

Table 3: Crop Yield Variability under PrecisionAgriculture Practices

Сгор Туре	Average Yield (tons/acre)	Variability (%)
Barley	5.2	9
Rice	7.1	7
Millet	2.8	13
Maize	6.5	8
Sorghum	3.9	12
Oats	4.8	10
Rye	5.0	11
Lentils	2.5	14
Peas	3.3	15
Beans	2.7	16

Table 3 displays the fluctuations in crop yield when precision agriculture practices are implemented. The table illustrates the fluctuation in crop output across several crop kinds while employing precision agricultural techniques. The dataset contains mean yields and measures of variability, offering valuable information on the uniformity and effectiveness of different crops.

Explanation: Rice exhibits the most elevated mean productivity, reaching 7.1 tons per acre, while also displaying the lowest level of variability, at 7%.

Lentils and peas demonstrate the least favourable average yields and the most excellent variability percentages, suggesting possible difficulties or fluctuations in production results.

The research underscores the varied performance of crops when employing precision agricultural techniques, highlighting the necessity for focused interventions and adaptable solutions to maximize yields and minimize variability.

Table 4 displays the farmers' perspectives on the advantages of implementing geospatial technology, such as GPS, GIS, and Remote Sensing. Participants are assigned a distinct identification number, and their perceived advantages in the three technology areas are recorded. The advantages of GPS include improved navigation, enabling more precise and efficient movement and routing within agricultural environments. The advantages of GIS encompass the ability to represent data visually, do spatial analysis, provide decision-making assistance, and monitor crop growth.

Table4:FarmerPerceptionsonGeospatialTechnology Benefits

Parti cipan t ID	GPS Benefits	GIS Benefits	Remote Sensing Benefits
016	Enhanced Navigation	Data Visualization	Crop Monitoring
017	Resource Allocation	Spatial Analysis	Pest Detection
018	Efficiency	Decision Support	Yield Prediction
019	Precision Agriculture	Soil Mapping	Environmental Monitoring
020	Cost Savings	Risk Managemen t	Crop Health Assessment
021	Innovation	Productivity	Adaptation Strategies
022	Sustainabili ty	Spatial Planning	Irrigation Management
023	Collaborati on	Data Integration	Climate Resilience
024	Scalability	Efficiency	Sustainability
025	Training	Accuracy	Technology Adoption

The advantages of remote sensing encompass agricultural surveillance, pest identification, yield projection, environmental monitoring, and evaluation of crop vitality. Remote sensing enables crop health assessment, allowing for prompt interventions and optimizations. Furthermore, it facilitates identifying and handling pests, allowing for specific control strategies and reducing potential hazards. It facilitates yield prediction, enabling players to foresee production outcomes and optimize harvesting tactics.

The table illustrates various perceived advantages linked to implementing geospatial technologies among farmers. Participants recognize the importance of using remote sensing technology for improved navigation, visual data visualization, spatial analysis, decision support, and improvement of agricultural practices, production, and sustainability, highlighting the potential for improvement.

The varied interpretations and advantages cited illustrate the flexibility and usefulness of geospatial



technologies in various agricultural settings, locations, and scales. The importance of customized solutions and strategies to tackle specific issues and opportunities in the farming industry is emphasized.

Table 5: Environmental Outcomes of PrecisionAgriculture Practices

Environmental Indicator	Reduction (%)
Carbon Footprint	18
Biodiversity Impact	12
Energy Consumption	10
Soil Degradation	20
Water Pollution	15
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	17
Waste Generation	13
Air Quality	11
Habitat Destruction	14
Land Degradation	16

Table 5 shows the environmental results linked to implementing precision agriculture techniques. The data encompasses decreases in diverse environmental indices, providing valuable insights into the potential advantages of precision agriculture in alleviating ecological consequences.

Explanation: Implementation of precision agriculture techniques has led to notable decreases in soil degradation (20%), carbon footprint (18%), and greenhouse gas emissions (17%).

Water pollution shows a decrease of 15%, biodiversity effect decreases by 12%, and waste creation decreases by 13%. The data emphasizes the environmental advantages of precision agriculture, showcasing its capacity to improve sustainability, optimize resource usage, and promote environmental responsibility.

Table 6 presented outlines the socioeconomic consequences of adopting geospatial technology among participants. The dataset encompasses the number of training hours completed, the economic consequences, and the level of involvement with the community, thereby offering valuable insights into the broader ramifications of adopting technology.

Explanation: Participants who receive more extensive training hours generally experience more significant economic consequences, indicating a

favourable association between training, skill enhancement, and economic outcomes.

Table 6: Socio-economic	Implications	of Geospatial
Technology Adoption		

Participant ID	Training Received (Hours)	Economic Impact (\$)	Community Engagement (Yes/No)
016	22	5,800	Yes
017	18	4,200	No
018	24	6,500	Yes
019	20	5,000	No
020	19	4,800	Yes
021	23	6,200	No
022	21	5,400	Yes
023	17	4,000	Yes
024	25	6,700	No
025	16	3,800	Yes

Community involvement differs among participants, with around 60% reporting participation with their communities. The data underscores the diverse consequences of geospatial technology, emphasizing the significance of enhancing capabilities, engaging the community, and promoting socioeconomic progress to support sustainable agriculture practices. These tables offer a thorough understanding of the extent to which geospatial technology is used in precision agriculture and its impact on soil fertility, crop performance, environmental effects, and socioeconomic factors. The data and interpretations provide significant insights into the field, guiding research, policy development, and creative practices promoting sustainable and resilient agricultural systems.

Analysis

The data acquired from the study, including GIS technology adoption rates, soil nutrient levels, crop production variability, farmer perceptions, environmental impacts, and socioeconomic implications, underwent a rigorous analytical procedure to derive significant insights and interpretations. This study uses statistical tests and qualitative analysis to evaluate farmers' use of GPS, GIS, and remote sensing technologies in precision agriculture. Descriptive statistics create frequency distributions, percentages, and central tendency measures.

.The study also estimated mean nutrient concentrations across different farming methods and



examined variability using standard deviation. Crop production variability was assessed for numerous crop kinds, providing a thorough overview of crop performance under precision agriculture approaches. Inferential statistics were undertaken by comparing, correlation, and qualitative analysis. The thematic analysis highlighted recurring themes, patterns, and insights linked to the perceived benefits, obstacles, and implications of GIS technology adoption in precision agriculture. Content analysis involves examining and coding textual material to categorize and analyze qualitative information.

Data visualization was done using graphs to visually show data distributions, trends, and linkages uncovered through statistical and qualitative analysis. The validity and reliability of the analytical procedures were ensured by utilizing recognized statistical tests, adhering to methodological rules, and triangulating findings from multiple data sources and analytical techniques. Peer assessment and validation by specialists in the field confirmed the legitimacy, accuracy, and rigour of the research findings.

The obtained data were methodically structured and presented in tables and graphs to assist a comprehensive understanding and interpretation of the research findings. Comparisons with earlier research or known values gave essential context and validity for the study's conclusions. Observed trends and departures from expected values were discovered in the analysis of the collected data. GPS and GIS usage was a noticeable trend, with minor variances seen in the utilization of Remote Sensing technologies. Soil nutrient levels exhibited stable trends across diverse farming systems, but crop yield variability underlined the importance of precision agriculture practices on crop performance.

Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical guidelines and principles to ensure the protection of participants' rights and privacy:

• Informed consent: Before participation, all participants were informed about the purpose, procedures, and potential risks and benefits of the study, and their consent was obtained voluntarily equipped with knowledge.

• **Confidentiality:** Several strategies were used to protect participants' privacy through anonymous data, secure storage and handling procedures, and

confidentiality of information they need for prohibited possession.

• Data Protection: The audit adhered to facts, safety rules, and moral requirements, ensuring the steady and accountable use, garage, and disposal of statistics with organizational guidelines and legal responsibilities.

INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

The interpretation of the consequences from the supplied fact sets will provide valuable insights into various factors of precision agriculture, such as technology adoption rates, soil nutrient levels, crop overall performance, environmental influences, and socio-financial implications. Here is a breakdown of the interpretations:

Geospatial Technology Adoption Rates among Farmers (Table 1)

• GIS technology seems to be the most widely adopted among farmers, with around 60% of participants utilizing it.

• GPS technology adoption is approximately 40%, indicating a significant but slightly lower adoption rate than GIS.

• Remote Sensing technology adoption is moderate, with approximately half of the participants employing it.

Soil Nutrient Levels across Different Farming Systems (Table 2)

• Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium levels vary slightly across different farming systems, indicating differences in soil fertility.

• While variations exist, the overall range remains relatively narrow, suggesting comparable nutrient compositions among different agricultural methods.

Crop Yield Variability under Precision Agriculture Practices (Table 3)

• Rice exhibits the highest mean yield and lowest variability among the crops listed, indicating its suitability for precision agriculture practices.

• Lentils and peas, on the other hand, show lower average yields and higher variability, indicating potential challenges in optimizing their production under precision agriculture.

Farmer Perceptions on Geospatial Technology Benefits (Table 4)

• Farmers perceive various benefits from geospatial technologies, including enhanced navigation, data visualization, resource allocation, precision agriculture, and sustainability.



• The diverse interpretations of benefits highlight the flexibility and usefulness of geospatial technologies in different agricultural settings.

Environmental Outcomes of Precision Agriculture Practices (Table 5)

• Precision agriculture techniques significantly reduce environmental indicators such as soil degradation, carbon footprint, greenhouse gas emissions, and water pollution.

• These reductions underscore the environmental advantages of precision agriculture, emphasizing its potential to improve sustainability and resource management.

Socioeconomic Implications of Geospatial Technology Adoption (Table 6)

• Participants who receive more extensive training hours tend to experience more significant economic impacts, suggesting a positive association between training, skill enhancement, and economic outcomes.

• Community engagement varies among participants, highlighting the importance of considering social factors in technology adoption and implementation.

Overall, the records highlight the significance of geospatial technologies in precision agriculture, their capacity benefits in improving crop management, environmental sustainability, socio-monetary development, and the need for tailored interventions to address particular demanding situations and possibilities in one-of-a-kind agricultural contexts.

DICUSSION

The results from the provided data sets offer a comprehensive view of the adoption rates, soil nutrient levels, crop performance, environmental impacts, and socioeconomic implications of precision agriculture practices. Analyzing the numerical values reveals several key insights. Regarding geospatial technology adoption rates among farmers (Table 1), approximately 60% of participants have implemented GIS technology, while GPS technology adoption stands at around 40%. This is in line with research by Baiyegunhi et al (2019), who stated that ISM technologies have a potential adoption rate of 68.2% among smallholder maize farmers in rural northern Nigeria, with an adoption gap of 9.9% due to incomplete diffusion/exposure. Moreover, Oyewole et al. (2023) asserted that adopting improved farm technologies significantly increased productivity among staple crop farmers in Nigeria's agricultural transformation agenda.

Remote Sensing technology utilization falls at approximately 50%, indicating moderate Adoption across the board. Soil nutrient levels across different farming structures (Table 2) exhibit extreme tendencies, with nitrogen concentrations ranging from 36 to 45 ppm, phosphorus levels from 15 to 19 ppm, and potassium concentrations having a thin range between 192 and 201 ppm. Crop yield variability below precision agriculture practices (Table 3) exhibited mean yields ranging from 2.5 to 7.1 tons per acre, with variability chances spanning from 7% to 16%, emphasizing variations in crop performance under different agricultural conditions. Farmer perceptions of geospatial technology benefits (Table 4) illustrate various perceived advantages, with GPS, GIS, and Remote Sensing technologies offering benefits such as enhanced navigation, data visualization, and crop monitoring. Environmental outcomes of precision agriculture practices. This was also opined by Kayode et al. (2021), who stated that Geoelectrical resistivity surveys reveal diverse soil properties and low to moderate contamination in a Nigerian farm, aiding sustainable precision agriculture practices, degradation, an 18% reduction in carbon footprint, and a 17% decrease in greenhouse gas emissions, underscoring the environmental benefits of precision agriculture. Finally, the socioeconomic implications of geospatial technology adoption (Table 6) highlight the positive association between training hours and economic impacts, with participants receiving more extensive training experiencing higher economic consequences. Additionally, around 60% of participants report community engagement, indicating varying levels of social involvement among farmers. These numerical values collectively emphasize the multifaceted nature precision agriculture and underscore the of importance of adopting tailored strategies to maximize its benefits across different agricultural contexts.

CONCLUSION

This study investigates the implementation of geospatial technology in precision agriculture, uncovering a growing inclination towards data-driven methodologies. The study also emphasizes the intricacies of nitrogen management and the necessity for customized soil fertility techniques. The variability of crop production in precision agriculture approaches demonstrates the impact of agronomic practices, environmental conditions, and



technological interventions on agricultural outputs. Farmer opinions underscore the advantages and difficulties of implementing GIS technology, emphasizing the significance of spreading knowledge, providing training, and implementing capacitybuilding programs.

This research enhances current understanding by offering data and insights on the adoption rates, behaviours, and views of GIS technology in precision agriculture. This study provides an in-depth examination of the changes in soil nutrient levels, crop growth, and environmental impacts of implementing precision agriculture techniques. It contributes valuable insights to creating sustainable soil management strategies and agronomic suggestions. Additionally, it emphasizes the social and economic consequences and moral issues linked to adopting geospatial technology. This encourages conversations and partnerships among individuals with a vested interest in addressing the obstacles and prospects in the agriculture industry.

The research is essential as it can provide valuable insights and direction for agricultural innovation, policy formulation, and adopting sustainable practices. Further research should examine the enduring consequences and durability of adopting geospatial technology on soil health. crop productivity, and environmental quality. The study explores the impact of socioeconomic, institutional, and policy factors on geospatial technology adoption and advancement, highlighting the need to integrate emerging technologies like AI, machine learning, and IoT and identifying capacity building and training requirements.

REFERENCES

Baiyegunhi, L.; Hassan, M.; Danso-Abbeam, G.; Ortmann, G. Diffusion and Adoption of Management Integrated Striga (ISM) technologies among smallholder maize farmers in rural northern Nigeria. Technology Society.2019 56 109-115. in https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TECHSOC.2018.09.0 <u>09</u>.

- Bhat, M.; Kumar, V.; Bhat, M.; Wani, I.; Dar, F.; Farooq, I.; Bhatti, F.; Koser, R.; Rahman, S.; Jan, A. Mechanistic Insights of the Interaction of Plant Growth-promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) With Plant Roots Toward Enhancing Plant Productivity by Alleviating Salinity Stress. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 2020, 11:195211. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.202</u> 0.01952.
- Bikbulatova, G.; Kupreyeva, E.; Pronina, L.; Shayakhmetov, M. Using Remote Sensing Methods in Precision Agriculture, 2020, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 55 -59. <u>https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200113.1</u> <u>38</u>.
- Chesnokov, Y. Value of QTL Analysis In Precision Agriculture System. *Genetics, Physiology and Plant Breeding,* 2021, 38:123-126 <u>https://doi.org/10.53040/gppb7.2021.32</u>.
- Clapp, J.; Ruder, S. Precision Technologies for Agriculture: Digital Farming, Gene-Edited Crops, and the Politics of Sustainability. *Global Environmental Politics*, 2020,pp. 20, 49– 69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1162/glep a 00566</u>.
- Eckard, R. Foreword: GGAA2016 Part 2. Animal Production Science, 2018, p. 58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/anv58n6</u>.
- Gong, A.; Li, R.; Pan, B.; Chen, H.; Ni, G.; Chen, M. Enhancing Spatial Variability Representation of Radar Nowcasting with Generative Adversarial Networks. *Remote Sensing*. 2023; 15(13):3306 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15133306</u>.
- Kayode, O.; Aizebeokhai, A.; Odukoya, A. Geophysical and Contamination Assessment of Soil Spatial Variability for Sustainable Precision Agriculture in Omu-Aran farm, Northcentral Nigeria. *Heliyon*, 2021, Volume 8, (2),2022,e08976 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliy</u> <u>on.2022.e08976</u>.
- Medici, M.; Pedersen, S.; Carli, G.; Tagliaventi, M. Environmental Benefits of Precision Agriculture Adoption. "*Economia agro-alimentare, Franco Angeli Editore*, vol. 21(3), pages 637-656.. <u>https://doi.org/10.3280/ECAG2019-</u> 003004.
- Micheni, E.; Machii, J.; Murumba, J. Internet of Things, Big Data Analytics, and Deep Learning for Sustainable Precision Agriculture. 2022 IST-Africa Conference (IST-Africa), 1-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.23919/IST-</u> <u>Africa56635.2022.9845510</u>.



- Molin, J.; Bazame, H.; Maldaner, L.; Corrêdo, L.; Martello, M.; Canata, T. Precision Agriculture And The Digital Contributions For Site-Specific Management of The Fields. *Revista Ciencia Agronomica*, 2020,51, 1-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.5935/1806-</u> <u>6690.20200088</u>.
- Oyewole, A.; Ayanrinde, F.; Oyewole, S.; Ayanrinde, A. Effect of Improved Farm Technologies Adoption on Productivity among Staple Crop Farmers of Nigeria Agricultural Transformation Agenda. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management, 2023, 27 (10): 2251-2256

https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v27i10.16

- Oyinbo, O.; Chamberlin, J.; Vanlauwe, B.; Vranken, L.; Kamara, Y.; Craufurd, P.; Maertens, M. Farmers' Preferences for High-Input Agriculture Supported by Site-Specific Extension Services: Evidence From A Choice Experiment In Nigeria. *Agricultural Systems*, 2019, 173, 12 -26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGSY.2019.02.00</u> 3.
- Sharma, S.; Srushtideep, A. Precision Agriculture and Its Future. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 2022, 34 (24):200-204 <u>https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2022/v34i24</u> 2630.
- Singh, R.; Kumar, P.; Mukherjee, S.; Suman, S.; Pandey, V.; Srivastava, P. Application of Geospatial Technology In Agricultural Water Management. , 31-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-812362-</u> 1.00003-5.
- Udo Aniedi, A.; Magnus U Igboekwe, Mbuotidem D Dick, Francis D Eyenaka, Ocheleka, Gabriel G, & Kufre R Ekanem . (2022). Parameter variations for the sandy aquifers in northern Akwa Ibom state, Southern Nigeria. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*. 01(01), 021– 028

https://doi.org/10.56355/ijfrr.2022.1.1.0004.

Weddell, B. Precision Agriculture. Encyclopedia of Big Data.

2022, <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/1999.precisiona</u> <u>gproc4</u>.

Zhao, W.; Wang, M.; Pham, V. Uncrewed Aerial Vehicle and Geospatial Analysis in Smart Irrigation and Crop Monitoring on IoT Platform. *Mobile Information Systems.*, 2023, Article ID 4213645, 12 pages <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/4213645</u>.

